

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/625,285             | MUNGUIA ET AL.      |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Duc T. Doan            | 2188                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Duc T. Doan.

(2) Jose R. Matta 56978.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 October 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

*William et al (US 6199151)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Duc T. Doan*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Interview Summary

A telephonic interview was conducted with Applicant's representative, Jose R. Matta #56978 on 10/12/06 to discuss the claims 24,38,41 and 47 in the amendment filed 8/14/06. Examiner request the clarification of the phrase "to generate" in claim 24. Examiner also requests clarifications on boot, reset and other pre-configuration state of the apparatus in the claim. Examiner suggests amendments in claim 24 to indicate:

"generating an unencoded chip select word in response to .. unencoded chip select mode" and "generating an encoded chip select word in response to .. encoded chip select mode". And similar amendments to other claims if necessary.